CAIRNCROSS&HEMPELMANN
524 2nd Ave, Suite 500
Seattle, WA 98104
www.cairncross.com

ATTORNEYS AT LAW office 206 587 0700 fax 206 587 2308



June 25, 2013

## VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS

Board of County Commissioners Kittitas County 205 West 5th, Room 108 Ellensburg, WA 98926

Re:

Appeal of Administrative Decision

Anselmo Land Administrative Segregations, SG-12-00002

## To The Board of Commissioners:

This firm represents Anselmo Land Company, LLC ("Anselmo"), the applicant for the Anselmo Administrative Segregation, File No. SG-12-00002 (the "Application"). Pursuant to Kittitas County Code ("KCC") 15.A.07.010 and the instructions stated in the County's Administrative Decision dated June 12, 2013, Anselmo hereby appeals.

As called for by KCC 15A.07.010(2), the following matters are identified for this appeal:

- a. The decision being appealed is the Administrative Decision, signed by Jeff Watson, Staff Planner, Kittitas County Community Development Services, stating that the Application is "null and void." A copy of the Administrative Decision is enclosed.
- b. The name and address of the appellant is Anselmo Land Company, LLC, c/o David Sprinkle, 999 Third Avenue, Suite 4300, Seattle, WA 98104. The appellant is interested in this matter because the appellant is the owner of the affected lands, seeking approval of the Application.
- c. The specific reasons that the appellant believes the Administrative Decision was wrong include:
  - i. The Application, including necessary fees, was filed on June 21, 2012. However, the Administrative Decision purports to deem the Application "null and void" under the terms of an Ordinance that was adopted in September 2012 ("Ord. 2012-006"). Ord. 2012-006 does not apply to the Application, and the Application should have been approved under the codes in effect on June 21, 2012.

Board of County Commissioners Kittitas County June 25, 2013 Page 2

- ii. The County erred by failing to provide timely preliminary approval of the Application prior to September 28, 2012. The Application was filed on June 21, 2012, and by operation of law was deemed complete on July 19, 2012. No requests for additional information were made by the County to the Applicant. The County's review comments were complete in August and no flaws were described with the Application. All requirements set by Chapter 16.06 KCC for preliminary approval of the Application were met well before September 28, 2012, and the Application should have been approved.
- iii. If Ord. 2012-006 is applied to the Application, then it is silent as to its impact on the Application. Therefore, the Administrative Decision that the Application is "null and void" is ultra vires, or beyond the County's jurisdiction and authority, or as applied, Ord. 2012-006 is illegally vague, or for other reasons Ord. 2012-006 fails to dictate a declaration that the Application is "null and void."
- iv. The Application was a "project permit" subject to the protections of State law as well as KCC 15A.10.030 requiring that "project review shall continue" even in the face identified alleged "deficiencies" in the County's plans or regulations. Applying Ord. 2012-006 to the Application was directly contrary to State law as well as KCC 15A.10.030.
- v. The Application was vested to the land use control ordinances in effect on June 21, 2012, the date the complete Application was filed. Ord. 2012-006 is not applicable, and the Application should have been approved under the ordinances in effect on June 21, 2012.
- d. The desired outcome or changes to the Administrative Decision are that the Administrative Decision be reversed, that the County instead grant preliminary approval to the Application so that the appellant can proceed to final approval immediately.
  - e. The required appeals fee of \$500 is paid by the enclosed check no. 4500001931.

Pursuant to KCC 15A.07.010, we look forward to the scheduling of an appeals hearing. I ask that you include both myself and David Sprinkle as contact persons for this appeal, using the following contact information:

Nancy Bainbridge Rogers Cairncross & Hempelmann 524 Second Ave., Suite 500 Seattle, WA 98104-2323 Tel: (206) 254-4417

Email: nrogers@cairncross.com

Board of County Commissioners Kittitas County June 25, 2013 Page 3

> David Sprinkle Anselmo Land Company, LLC 999 Third Avenue, Suite 4300 Seattle, WA 98104

Tel: (206) 467-3650

Email: david.sprinkle@plumcreek.com

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Very truly yours,

Nancy Bainbridge Rogers

## Enclosures

cc: Neil Caulkins (via email delivery)

Jeff Watson (via email delivery)

David Sprinkle (via email delivery)



## KITTITAS COUNTY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

411 N. Ruby St., Suite 2, Ellensburg, WA 98926 CDS@CO.KITTITAS.WA.US Office (509) 962-7506 Fax (509) 962-7682

Building Partnerships - Building Communities

June 12, 2013

Anselmo Land Company LLC 999 Third Avenue Suite 4300 Seattle WA 98104

RE: Anselmo Land Administrative Segregation, SG-12-00002

Map Number:

21-14-27000-0001

Dear Applicant,

On September 18, 2012 the Board of County Commissioners of Kittitas County adopted Ordinance 2012-006 (attached) amending the county code language related Administrative Segregations. The new language made provisions for existing applicants which had not received a letter of denial and had received preliminary approval to finalize or convert their applications to another form of subdivision. No options or provisions were codified for pending applications which had not received preliminary approval. The Kittitas County Prosecuting Attorney's Office has determined that the lack of provisions for pending applications without preliminary approval in Ordinance 2012-006 renders them null and void.

The application submitted by Anselmo Land Company LLC on June 21, 2012 (SG-12-00002) was not given preliminary approval prior to September 18, 2012, and as such has been determined by Kittitas County Community Development services as of June 12, 2013 to be null and void.

This letter constitutes an Administrative Decision and as such may be appealed in accordance with Kittitas County Code Chapter 15A.07.010 which stipulates that an appeal must be filed within 10 (ten) working days by submitting specific factual objections and a fee of \$500 to the Kittitas County Board of Commissioners at 205 West 5th, Room 108 Ellensburg, WA 98926. The appeal deadline for this decision is: <u>June 27, 2013 at 5:00p.m.</u>

If you have any further questions, please feel free to contact me at (509) 933-8274.

Sincerely,

Jeff Watson
Staff Planner

CC and Attachments via E-Mail to: <a href="mailto:david.sprinkle@plumcreek.com">david.sprinkle@plumcreek.com</a>

david@ConceptEng.com doc.hansen@co.kittitas.wa.us

neil.caulkins@co.kittitas.wa.us

Anselmo Land Company LLC
Concept Engineering
Kittitas County Planning Official

Kittitas County Prosecuting Attorney's Office

Attachments: Ordinance 2012-006

4500001931

Payment Date

Plum Creek Administrative Corp., Inc.

06/25/2013 P.O. Box 1990 Columbia Falls.

Columbia Falls, MT 59912

Our P.O. No. Gross Amount Discount Amt. **Net Amount Invoice Description Invoice Number** \$500.00 Filing Fee 062413 \$500.00 \$500.00 \$0.00 \$500.00 **Totals** 

WARNING - VERIFY WORD VALID BY TOUCHING, RUBBING OR BREATHING ON SPECIAL HEAT SENSITIVE AREA BELOW

Page 1 of 1



Plum Creek Administrative Corp., Inc. P.O. Box 1990 Columbia Falls, MT 59912



BANK OF AMERICA DISBURSEMENT ACCOUNT ATLANTA, DEKALB COUNTY GEORGIA

<u>Carego alum carem plum eren</u>k

41<u>278</u> 4500 611

TouchSafe® CHEEK CREEK - PEUM CREEK - PEUM

Check Date: 06/25/2013

PAY: Five Hundred and 00/100 Dollars A PREM PRIM CREEK PRIM CREEK PRIM CREEK PRIM CREEK PRIM CREEK

\$ \*\*\*\*\*\*500.00

KITTITAS COUNTY
BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS LUMERER PLUM CREEK
205 WEST 5TH, ROOM 108 MARRIER PLUM CREEK
ELLENSBURG WA, 98926 FR. PLUM CREEK PLUM

PLUM CREEK + PLUM CREEK - PLUM CREE**NOT NEGOTIABLE AFTER 90 DAYS** REEK + PLUM CREEK

THE SELLING SPENCE PERMIT PRESENTED IN THE

DO NOT CASH UNLESS YOU CAN VERIFY ADVANCED SECURITY FEATURES LISTED ON BACK OF THIS DOCUMENT